

Open Research Online

The Open University's repository of research publications and other research outputs

Geological mapping of the Hokusai (H05) quadrangle of Mercury: Status update

Conference or Workshop Item

How to cite:

Wright, J.; Rothery, D. A.; Balme, M. R. and Conway, S. J. (2018). Geological mapping of the Hokusai (H05) quadrangle of Mercury: Status update. In: 49th Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, 19-23 Mar 2018, The Woodlands, Houston, Texas, USA.

For guidance on citations see [FAQs](#).

© [not recorded]



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Version: Version of Record

Link(s) to article on publisher's website:

<https://www.hou.usra.edu/meetings/lpsc2018/pdf/2164.pdf>

Copyright and Moral Rights for the articles on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. For more information on Open Research Online's data [policy](#) on reuse of materials please consult the policies page.

oro.open.ac.uk

GEOLOGICAL MAPPING OF THE HOKUSAI (H05) QUADRANGLE OF MERCURY: STATUS UPDATE.

J. Wright¹, D. A. Rothery¹, M. R. Balme¹ and S. J. Conway², ¹School of Physical Sciences, The Open University, Milton Keynes, MK7 6AA, UK (jack.wright@open.ac.uk), ²CNRS, Laboratoire de Planétologie et Géodynamique, Université de Nantes, France.

Introduction: MESSENGER data are being used to construct ~1:3M scale quadrangle geological maps of Mercury [1,2,3,4,5,6]. Here, we present our progress mapping the Hokusai (Fig. 1) quadrangle.

Data and Methods: Since Hokusai is a mid-northern latitude quadrangle (0-90° E; 22.5-66° N), its map is being produced in a Lambert Conformable Conic projection. Linework is being drawn at the 1:400k scale, using ArcGIS, for publication at the 1:3M scale, in accordance with USGS recommendations [7]. As a result, this map will be compatible for merging with the other new quadrangle maps of Mercury [8].

The primary basemap for mapping is constructed from the MESSENGER MDIS basemap tiles, with an average ground resolution of 166 m/pixel. Ancillary mapping data products include global topography [9], mosaics with high- and low-incidence illumination from both east and west [10], and an enhanced colour mosaic [11].

In order to determine a morphostratigraphy for the region, craters are classified by their degradation state. Two classification systems are currently applied to Mercury: the five class system of the global geological map of Mercury [12] and the three class system used in the recently published quadrangle maps [1,2,3]. We are attempting to use both classification schemes in parallel, classifying all craters >20 km in diameter. This will ensure the Hokusai map can be made compatible with both the global map of Mercury or the quadrangle maps.

Mapped Units and Features: Mapping of the northern half of H05 is complete. Mapping of the remainder of the quadrangle is underway.

Smooth plains. The extensive smooth plains in H05 are mostly part of the Northern Plains of Mercury [13]. These plains are characterised by their low crater density. They have the clearest contact relationships with other units and host younger craters, which are the simplest to classify. They contain abundant wrinkle ridges. Ghost craters are also widespread, which distinguish the Northern Plains from the Caloris-related plains [14].

Intercrater plains. These are an older plains unit that is more heavily cratered than smooth plains, and is dominant in the south of H05. Intercrater plains host the older degradation classes of craters. Contacts between intercrater plains and other units, such as crater ejecta blankets, are much more uncertain than smooth plains contacts, hence this unit is being mapped after the smooth plains.

Impact crater units. The strategy for mapping impact craters depends on their diameter. Fresh crater rims 5-20 km in diameter are simply outlined on the map. Heavily degraded small craters, characteristic of the intercrater plains [15], are not marked separately. As well as having their rim crests outlined, craters >20 km have their rim material and ejecta deposit mapped together as a unit. The crater interior is mapped as a separate unit. The conditions of the ejecta, rim and interior materials are used to assign a degradation class to these craters [12]. Currently, crater classification within the smooth plains is complete. These are C3 in the scheme of [8] and correspond to C1 and C2 craters on the global map [12] on which the age-numbering runs the other way (from oldest to youngest). Crater interior units are either smooth, hummocky or a mixture of both.

Wrinkle ridges. There are two distinct types of wrinkle ridges within Hokusai quadrangle: common wrinkle ridges and wrinkle ridge rings, which indicate impact crater buried by the smooth plains (ghost craters). We are mapping these two types of wrinkle ridge separately as the spatial and size distributions of ghost craters informs us about plains emplacement (number of flow events, their lengths and thicknesses) [13].

Unity Rupes. This is the largest lobate scarp (~350 km) within the Hokusai quadrangle [4]. It appears to be a right-lateral ramp at the northernmost extent of a ~2000 km long fault system that encompasses Blossom Rupes to the south. Massironi et al. [16] used the M1M2M3M10 (MESSENGER flyby and Mariner 10) mosaic to study this system. We will reanalyse this fault system using newer orbital MESSENGER data. We will attempt to characterise fault slip along strike using faulted craters [17]. Lobate scarps are relatively uncommon in this quadrangle, possibly due to the high proportion of smooth plains, which accommodate strain via wrinkle ridges rather than lobate scarps [18].

Volcanic features. The Hokusai quadrangle contains Mercury's largest putative pyroclastic deposit and vent, informally referred to as NE Rachmaninoff [19] as well as several smaller examples. Deposit extents are most easily seen using enhanced colour. We will use a semi-transparent symbology for these, enabling us also to show underlying units that are still readily discernible. Vent edges will be marked if they will be clear at the publication scale. Volcanic features that cannot be resolved at the publication scale will have their locations marked as points on the map.

Future Work: Now that the smooth plains (and superposing features) within the Hokusai quadrangle have been fully mapped, we are mapping the older plains units and their craters.

Classification of craters >20 km in diameter by their degradation state is being done using the two schemes in parallel. This is to test whether the five class scheme can be applied at the quadrangle scale without crater morphology apparently contradicting superposition relationships [20].

Some quadrangle maps produced using Mariner 10 data included a third plains unit intermediate in texture between smooth plains and intercrater plains [e.g. 21]. The global geological map being produced by Prockter et al. currently only contains smooth plains and intercrater plains [22]. Quadrangle mappers using MESSENGER data have mapped regional units that are perhaps less significant at the global scale, including plains resembling Mariner 10 intermediate plains [1]. As we map the south of Hokusai, we will decide whether the plains units there can be subdivided into different recognisable units with sensible stratigraphic relationships and provenances.

References: [1] Galluzzi V. et al. (2016) *JoM*, 12, 227-238. [2] Mancinelli P. et al. (2016) *JoM*, 12, 190-202. [3] Guzzetta L. et al. (2016) *XIII Congresso Nazionale di Scienze Planetarie*. [4] Wright J. et al. (2016) *LPS XLVII*, #2067. [5] Malliband C. et al. (2017) *LPS XLVIII*, #. [6] Pegg D. L. et al. (2017) 15th Early Career Planetary Scientists' Meeting, UKPF. [7] Tanaka K. L. et al. (2010) *Planetary Geologic Mapping Handbook – 2011*, USGS. [8] Galluzzi V. et al. (2016) *LPS XLVII*, 2119. [9] Becker K. J. et al. (2016) *LPS XLVII*, #2959. [10] Chabot N. L. et al. (2016) *LPS XLVII*, #1256. [11] Denevi B. W. et al. (2016) *LPS XLVII*, #1264. [12] Kinczyk M. J. et al. (2016) *LPS XLVII*, #1573. [13] Ostrach L. R. (2015) *Icarus*, 250, 602-622. [14] Denevi B. W. et al. (2013) *JGR: Planets*, 118, 891-907. [15] Whitten J. L. (2014) *Icarus*, 241, 97-113. [16] Massironi M. et al. (2015) *Geol. Soc. London Spec. Pub.*, 401, 269-290. [17] Galluzzi V. et al. (2015) *Geol. Soc. London Spec. Pub.*, 401, 313-325. [18] Byrne P. K. et al. (2014) *Nat. Geosci.*, 7, 301-307. [19] Thomas R. J. et al. (2014) *JGR: Planets*, 119, 2239-2254. [20] Spudis and Guest (1988) *Mercury*, 118-164. [21] Spudis and Prosser (1984) *USGS Misc. Inv.*, Map I-1659. [22] Prockter et al. (2016) *LPS XLVII*, #1245.




Fig. 1. Our current working geological map of the Hokusai quadrangle of Mercury. 5° of overlap is shown with the surrounding quadrangles. The symbology is provisional and the final map will resemble the other published quadrangle geological maps of Mercury [1,2,3].